5/93

## UNITED STATES ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY

## BEFORE THE ADMINISTRATOR

IN THE MATTER OF

DEL VAL INK AND COLOR, INC.,

Respondent

)

## ORDER DISMISSING COMPLAINT

Complainant first moved to dismiss the complaint in this proceeding without prejudice. Respondent objected, asserting that it was entitled to a dismissal with prejudice. In reply to my order of April 29, 1993, directing Complainant to show cause why the complaint should not be dismissed with prejudice, Complainant stated that it would have no objection to a dismissal with prejudice, although it believes that it is entitled to a dismissal without prejudice.

I find that Respondent is entitled to a dismissal with prejudice. Respondent has shown that Complainant is not likely to prevail on the merits.

Accordingly, it is ordered as follows:

Complainant's motion to dismiss the complaint without prejudice is denied.

The complaint in this matter is dismissed with prejudice.

Gerald Harwood

Senior Administrative Law Judge

Dated: May 26, 1993

In the Matter of Del Val Ink & Color, Inc., Respondent Docket No. II-RCRA-91-0104

## Certificate of Service

I certify that the foregoing Order Dismissing Complaint, dated May 26, 1993, was sent this day in the following manner to the addressees listed below.

Original by Regular Mail to:

Karen Maples

Regional Hearing Clerk

U.S. EPA

26 Federal Plaza New York, NY 10278

Copy by Regular Mail to:

Attorney For Complainant:

Jonathan Sperber, Esquire Assistant Regional Counsel

U.S. EPA

26 Federal Plaza New York, NY 10278

Attorney for Respondent:

Steven A. Hann, Esquire Fox, Rothschild, O'Brien

& Frankel

2000 Market Street, 10th Fl.

Philadelphia, PA 19103

Maria Whiting

Secretary

Dated: May 26, 1993